

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 128 703

CG 010 809

AUTHOR Damico, Sandra; Purkey, William
TITLE The Class Clown Phenomenon Among Middle School Students.
SPONS AGENCY Florida Educational Research and Development Council, Gainesville.
PUB DATE Apr 76
NOTE 19p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association (San Francisco, California, April 19-23, 1976); Best copy available, some pages may reproduce poorly
EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.83 HC-\$1.67 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS *Behavior Patterns; Educational Research; *Humor; Junior High Schools; *Personality; Questionnaires; School Attitudes; *Self Concept; *Social Behavior; *Sociometric Techniques; Speeches
IDENTIFIERS *Class Clown Phenomenon

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study was to begin exploration of the "class clown" phenomenon. Eighth grade students identified as class clowns on the basis of multiple peer nominations were compared to a random sample of classmates on: inferred and professed self-concept, school attitude, social status, and classroom behavior. Various demographic data were also contrasted. Two distinct clown behavioral types emerged from an analysis of the collected sociometric data. These types are discussed, and it is suggested that they are the result of important personality characteristics not isolated by the initial analysis of data. (Author/SJL)

* Documents acquired by ERIC include many informal unpublished *
* materials not available from other sources. ERIC makes every effort *
* to obtain the best copy available. Nevertheless, items of marginal *
* reproducibility are often encountered and this affects the quality *
* of the microfiche and hardcopy reproductions ERIC makes available *
* via the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). EDRS is not *
* responsible for the quality of the original document. Reproductions *
* supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original. *

ED128703

CG

THE CLASS CLOWN PHENOMENON AMONG
MIDDLE SCHOOL STUDENTS

Sandra Damico and William Purkey
University of Florida

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,
EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO-
DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM
THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN-
ATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS
STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT
OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational
Research Association, San Francisco, April, 1976

THE CLASS CLOWN PHENOMENON AMONG
MIDDLE SCHOOL STUDENTS*

Sandra Damico and William Purkey
University of Florida

The purpose of this study was to begin exploration of the "class clown" phenomenon. Eighth grade students identified as class clowns on the basis of multiple peer nominations were compared to a random sample of classmates on: inferred and professed self concept, school attitude, social status, and classroom behavior. Various demographic data were also contrasted.

Historically the study of humor has not attracted the serious interest of behavioral scientists. Martineau (1972, p. 102) reported that "...as of 1972 there were approximately a score of journal articles scattered over 30 years, a few directly pertinent dissertations and several books and monographs which refer to humor at some point but do not examine it in any comprehensive manner." There is some evidence, though, that the educational importance of humor, like play, is slowly being recognized (Chapman, 1973; Groch, 1974; McGhee, 1971; & Terry, 1975). However, none of the located educational studies focused upon the characteristics of the individual initiating the comic situation. A recent computer search of ERIC and Psychological Abstracts confirmed this; they contained not a single reference to class clowns. Mention of class clowns in the sociological and psychological literature is rare, and generally occurs in listings of various student roles or as a parenthetical comment in works directed toward other topics. Thus, virtually nothing is known about one of the most commonly recognized student roles: that of class clown.

From the little work on humor done to date enough has been learned to warrant further exploration in educational settings. Humor occurs in nearly all human interaction and is one of the processes affecting the functioning of social systems. The identified functions of humor are varied: it is a means of social control by expressing approval or disapproval of group behavior (Myrdal, 1944; Stephenson, 1951), it leads to social cohesion (Blau, 1955; Middleton & Moland, 1959), it releases tension by providing a mechanism for communicating sentiments which would be difficult to voice in any other way (Goodrich, Henry, & Goodrich, 1954), and it can introduce competition and social conflict (Pitchford, 1960).

*This research has been supported by the Florida Educational Research and Development Council. The investigators are most appreciative for this funding.

The content of humor can be classified as either clowning/whimsical or sarcastic/biting (Goodchilds, 1972; O'Connell, 1969). The first of these is viewed as a process which keeps interaction moving freely and smoothly, while sarcastic humor can lead to interpersonal friction (Martineau, 1972).

O'Connell (1969) studied humor of "developmental groups" among neuropsychiatric patients in a VA hospital. Those identified by group members as "funny" wits were regarded as influential leaders, popular, active, and independent. "Sarcastic" wits were seen as hostile, less influential, and not as popular as those viewed as funny.

Additional characteristics have been identified of individuals who create laughter in social situations. Goodchilds (1959) studied seven small, mixed-sex groups of corporation supervisory personnel who were participating in a five-day management development course. On postcourse self-ratings wits of both sexes, compared to non-wits, gave more positive personality profiles and saw themselves as having participated more actively in their groups and in more different ways. In describing each other, members agreed with the wits' favorable self-descriptions. Men were found to make more jokes than women and were the only ones to make sarcastically toned jokes. In experimental situations (Goodchilds & Green, 1971; Ziller, Behtinger, & Goodchilds, 1962) the individual who is witty has been found to be creative, have positive self-perceptions, to be an active group member, and to be seen as helpful by other group members.

In summary, individuals who make others laugh have been described as being influential leaders, popular, active, independent, creative, and to have positive self-perceptions. The humor they create plays an important role in social system maintenance. Thus, exploration of humor and those who generate it should be extended to educational settings.

It has been aptly observed that our great professional comedians are frequently our most perceptive social commentators. Perhaps the same may be true of our class clowns. Research directed at this group may provide valuable insights into the process of schooling.

Methods

Subjects:

Subjects were selected from 47 eighth grade classrooms in eleven Florida middle schools. Data were collected on 134 students identified by classmates as class clowns and on a random sample of 330 non-clowns within each of the rooms. Participation by teachers was voluntary.

Procedures:

Because this study was funded by the Florida Educational Research and Development Council (FERDC), letters of invitation to participate in the project were mailed to the 35 county FERDC representatives. The representatives returned names of eighth grade teachers who expressed an interest in receiving additional information concerning participation. Teachers expressing interest received a detailed description of the project and a form on which to indicate their desire to participate and to indicate number of students who would be involved. Only one teacher declined to participate.

Data collection was in three phases. Phase I asked every student in the 47 participating classrooms to nominate classmates to five sociometric positions:

1. Most classrooms have a few students who joke a lot and who make others in the room laugh. These are the "Class Clowns". Please list below the names (first and last) of students you know who clown around a lot.
2. Name the students who are your best friends.
3. Name the students who usually come up with the best ideas for class projects or activities.
4. If you had to work on a group project in English with three other students, and half your grade depended upon this project, which three students would you select to work with?
5. List the students that you think are liked by almost everyone in the class.

Phase I sociometric forms were returned to the research team and nominations tabulated. Students receiving ten or more peer nominations were identified as class clowns.

Many students received two to four nominations as clowns, probably from close friends. There was then a clear break followed by a small percentage of students receiving ten or more nominations; a very few students were overwhelmingly selected by classmates (and frequently students from other classes within the same school) as clowns. These students, on whom there were high levels of consensus, were designated super clowns.

For Phase II, teachers were given a packet of questionnaires to complete on the class clowns and the random sample of non-clowns. This packet contained two instruments, the Florida Key and the Classroom Behavior Inventory.

The Florida Key is a measure of self concept as learner. It consists of 18 behaviors to which teachers respond on a scale of 1 to 5. In addition to total score, the Key yields four factor scores: Relating, Asserting, Investing, and Coping. A split-halves estimate of reliability of total score has been reported to be .93; all validation correlations were significant at the .01 level (Purkey, Cage, & Graves, 1973).

The Classroom Behavior Inventory was developed by staff at the National Institute of Mental Health. Five subscales were selected for use in this study based upon hypothesized behavior differences between clowns and non-clowns (Unruliness, Attention Seeking, Leadership, Kindness, & Cheerfulness). Scale reliabilities, as estimated by Kuder-Richardson formula 20, ranged from .73 to .96; the median internal consistency scale reliability was .86 (Schaefer, Aaronson, & Burgoon, 1966).

During Phase II all students within the 47 classrooms completed the Student Attitude Scale (Damico, Hines, & Northrop, 1975) and a shortened form of Coopersmith's Self Esteem Inventory (SEI) (Coopersmith, 1967; Northrop, 1974). The attitude scale has a reliability ranging from .88 to .94 and the short form of the SEI of .86. Students also completed a form of the Classroom Behavior Inventory modified so that they reported their own perceptions of the behavior of class clowns. Additionally, students provided information on number and ages of siblings, and number and types of extracurricular activities. Only those instruments completed by class clowns and the random sample were included in the study.

Phase III of the project, now in progress, involves classroom observation and interviewing of class clowns and super clowns.

Results

The amount of time involved in having teachers collect data from their students in two phases has resulted, at the present time, in having sociometric data available on a larger sample than questionnaire data. Sociometric data have been processed on 1668 students in eleven middle schools. From among these students, 134 class clowns were identified by peer nominations and 380 students were randomly selected as a contrast group. Among the 134 class clowns, 14 were identified as super clowns -- those students receiving over 25 nominations as clowns.

Questionnaire data are reported here on 204 students -- 54 clowns (9 superclowns) and 150 non-clowns. Data on the remaining student population are still being processed.

Table 1 reports the frequency by which students were nominated by peers as class clowns. The mean number of nominations received by this population was 16.18.

Insert Table 1 about here

A number of chi-square tables were computed to examine differences between the clowns and randomly selected non-clowns on race, sex, total number of brothers and sisters, number of older brothers and sisters, number of younger brothers and sisters, and number of extracurricular activities. Only one of these calculations was significant: sex. There were significantly fewer female clowns than would be expected given the number of females in the population.

Insert Table 2 about here

A second series of chi-square tables were computed for the same set of variables but contrasting super clowns (those receiving 25 or more peer nominations) to all other students. Again, only one difference was significant. Table 3 indicates that the super

clowns did not have as many older siblings as the comparison group. Super clowns tend to be the elder or only child in their families.

Insert Table 3 about here

Questionnaire data supplied by teachers and students were analyzed using a 2x2x2 factorial design. This procedure was used to examine differences between clowns and non-clowns by race and sex. The same procedure was used to contrast super clowns to all other students. Because of limited space, tables are provided only where there were significant main effects for clowns or super clowns. There were no significant two- or three-way interactions.

There were no significant differences between clowns and non-clowns on the measure of inferred learner self concept. The only significant difference on the Florida Key was by race. White students had a mean of 60.49 while the mean for Black students was 50.97. This difference was significant at the .01 level.

Clowns did, however, differ from other students on three of the five subscales of the Classroom Behavior Inventory. Clowns, as contrasted to other students, were seen by their teachers as unruly (means: clowns = 15.15; non-clowns = 11.06), overtly

Insert Tables 4, 5, and 6 about here

seeking attention (means: clowns = 14.19; non-clowns = 9.82), and possessing high levels of leadership (means: clowns = 12.74; non-clowns = 11.45). Clowns were described by teachers as being as kind and cheerful as other students within their classes.

Student perceptions of the classroom behavior of clowns did not differ when reported by clowns or non-clowns.

There were no significant differences between clowns and non-clowns on school attitude or self esteem. White students

had a significantly more positive attitude toward school ($p < .05$) than did Black students (means: white students = 128.71; Black students = 121.58). There were no significant differences by race or sex on self esteem.

The only significant difference between the super clowns and other students was on Attention Seeking. On this variable super clowns had a mean of 14.78 while all other students had a mean of 10.81.

Insert Table 7 about here

The sociometric nominations of 55 clowns to three classroom roles are reported in Table 8. These nominations were made by 806 students in five middle schools. (These schools were selected for analysis because all eighth grade teachers and students participated.) Nine of the clowns received no nominations to any of

Insert Table 8 about here

these three sociometric positions: "Students Who Come Up with Best Ideas for Class Projects", "Work on an Important Group Project", or "Liked by Almost Everyone in the Class". Clowns received more nominations to "Liked by Most Students" than to either of the other sociometric positions.

Discussion

This first stage report on the class clown phenomenon raised more questions than it answered. For example, why is there a disproportionate number of male clowns, and why is female clowning behavior most prevalent in a single middle school? Are there at least two distinct behavioral types of class clowns, as this preliminary study indicates? These questions will be considered in light of present findings.

Regarding race of clowns, the investigators anticipated that there would be a disproportionate number of Black clowns because of the large number of famous, Black comedians who might serve as role models (i.e., Bill Cosby, Flip Wilson, Redd Foxx, Dick Gregory, etc.). This was not the case. There were only as many Black clowns as expected given the racial composition of the student population. This raises doubts that Black comedians are serving as prominent role models for Black students.

A second assumption of the investigators, prior to the research, was that relatively few female clowns would be nominated. This was the case. Only 16 out of 134 clowns were female. Only one of nine super clowns was female. A possible reason for the small number of female clowns is that clowning behavior among females has traditionally been discouraged as being "unladylike." This view may be changing. Planned future research will attempt to detect changing trends in female clowning behavior. An interesting sidelight of this study is that seven of the nine identified female clowns came from the same middle school. What social and psychological processes are encouraging female clowning in this particular school?

Two distinct clown behavioral types emerged from an analysis of the collected sociometric data. The first type was the popular, group leader clown. The second type was an isolate. The popular-type clown was seen by classmates as being "creative", "academically capable", and "well liked." The isolate-type clown was not selected by classmates as fitting any of these roles. Observation of eighth grade team, supplemented by teachers and students' interviews, provides some clues on why certain clowns were isolates. Isolate-clowns caused laughter at the expense of others. Within a brief period of time, isolate-clowns were observed to dump a girl out of her chair, pop a paper bag beside the ear of a boy, flick a pencil across a table at a student, and to make fun of another student's clothing. Interviews with teachers confirmed that these behaviors were common of the behavior patterns of clowns identified as isolates. It seems likely that the difference in class clown behavioral types is masking important personality characteristics of clowns not indicated by the initial analysis of data. In this initial study, all students classified as class clowns were grouped together. In future researches, efforts will be made by these investigators to more closely analyze clown types.

*There are three things which are real: God,
human folly, and laughter. Since the first
two pass our comprehension, we must do what
we can with the third.*

*Aubrey Menen
The Ranayana*

- Blau, P. The dynamics of bureaucracy. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1955.
- Chapman, A.J. Social facilitation of laughter in children. Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 1973, 9, 528-541.
- Coopersmith, S. The antecedents of self esteem. San Francisco: Freeman Press, 1967.
- Damico, S., Hines, V., & Northrop, J. The measurement of pupils' attitudes toward school: A handbook for teachers. Resource Monograph #15. Gainesville: P.K. Yonge Laboratory School, University of Florida, 1975.
- Goodchilds, J.D. Effects of being witty on position in the social structure of a small group. Sociometry, 1959, 22, 261-272.
- Goodchilds, J.D. On being witty: causes, correlates, and consequences. In J.H. Goldstein & P.E. McGhee (Eds.) The psychology of humor. New York: Academic Press, 1972.
- Goodchilds, J.D. & Green, J.A. Inventiveness, wit, and small group behavior. Unpublished manuscript, University of California at Los Angeles, 1971.
- Goodrich, A., Henry, J., & Goodrich, W. Laughter in psychiatric staff conferences: A sociopsychiatric analysis. American Journal of Orthopsychiatry, 1954, 24, 175-184.
- Groch, A. Joking and appreciation of humor in nursery school children. Child Development, 1974, 45, 1098-1102.
- Martineau, W.H. A model of the social functions of humor. In J.H. Goldstein and P.E. McGhee (Eds.) The psychology of humor. New York: Academic Press, 1972.
- McGhee, P.E. Cognitive development and children's comprehension of humor. Child Development, 1971, 42, 123-138.
- Middleton, R. & Moland, J. Humor in Negro and white subcultures: A study of jokes among university students. American Sociological Review, 1959, 24, 61-69.
- Myrdal, G. An American dilemma. New York: Harper, 1944.
- Northrop, J. Elementary affective education in human relations. Research Monograph #10. Gainesville: P.K. Yonge Laboratory School, University of Florida, 1974.

Pitchford, H.G. The social functions of humor. Unpublished doctoral dissertation. Atlanta: Emory University, 1960.

Purkey, W.W., Cage, E.N. & Graves, W. The Florida Key: A scale to infer learner self concept. Educational and Psychological Measurement, 1973, 33, 979-984.

Schaefer, E.S., Aaronson, M., & Burgoon, B. Classroom Behavior Inventory (Form for Grades 3 through 12). National Institute of Mental Health, 1966, (Mimeo)

Stephenson, R.M. Conflict and control functions of humor. American Journal of Sociology, 1951, 56, 569-574.

Terry, R.L., & Woods, M.E. Effects of humor on the test performance of elementary school children. Psychology in the Schools, 1975, 12, 182-185.

Ziller, R.C., Behtinger, R.D. & Goodchilds, J.D. Group creativity under conditions of success or failure and variation in group stability. Journal of Applied Psychology, 1962, 46, 43-49.

Table 1

Frequency of Sociometric Nominations as a
Class Clown by Peers

Frequency of Nomination	Number of <u>Ss</u> Nominated
10 - 12	46
13 - 15	25
16 - 18	17
19 - 21	12
22 - 24	11
25 - 27	9
28 - 30	6
31 - 33	1
34 - 36	1
37 - 39	0
40+	2
N= 130	
\bar{X} = 16.18	

Table 2

Distribution of Sample Population by
Clown/Non-Clown and Sex

Sex	Clowns	Non-Clowns
Male	43	61
Female	11	84

$\chi^2 = 20.77$, $df = 1$, $p < .001$
n = 199

Table 3

Distribution of Sample Populations by
Super Clown/All Other Students and
Number of Older Brothers & Sisters

Number of Older Brothers & Sisters		
	Super Clowns	All Other Students
0	3	61
1	2	37
2	1	26
3	1	26
4	1	22
5	0	11
6	0	3
7	0	5
8	0	4
9	1	0

$$\chi^2 = 22.85, df = 9, p < .01$$

Table 4
Analysis of Variance -- Classroom
Behavior Inventory: Unruliness
Clowns

Source	SS	df	MS	F
Main Effects	696.842	3	232.281	11.884***
Clown	440.132	1	440.132	22.519***
Sex	54.848	1	54.848	2.806
Race	11.227	1	11.227	.574
2-Way Interactions	9.267	3	3.089	.158
Clown/Sex	4.718	1	4.718	.241
Clown/Race	.751	1	.751	.038
Sex/Race	4.706	1	4.706	.241
3-Way Interactions	25.837	1	25.837	1.322
Clown/Sex/Race	25.837	1	25.837	1.322
Explained	731.945	7	104.564	5.350***
Residual	3,479.043	178	19.545	
Total	4,210.988	185	22.762	

*** $p < .001$

Table 5

Analysis of Variance -- Classroom Behavior
Inventory: Attention Seeking
Clowns

Source	SS	df	MS	F
Main Effects	760.905	3	253.635	13.427***
Clown	546.986	1	546.986	28.956***
Sex	25.946	1	25.946	1.373
Race	19.675	1	19.675	1.042
2-Way Interactions	25.806	3	8.602	.455
Clown/Sex	8.010	1	8.010	.424
Clown/Race	14.711	1	14.711	.779
Sex/Race	2.984	1	2.984	.158
3-Way Interactions	44.711	1	44.711	2.367
Clown/Sex/Race	44.711	1	44.711	2.367
Explained	831.422	7	118.775	6.288***
Residual	3,362.457	178	18.890	
Total	4,193.879	185	22.670	

*** $p < .001$

Table 6

Analysis of Variance --
Classroom Behavior Inventory: Leadership
 Clowns

Source	SS	df	MS	F
Main Effects	202.893	3	67.631	4.599**
Clown	127.511	1	127.511	8.672**
Sex	137.482	1	137.482	9.350**
Race	7.345	1	7.345	.500
2-Way Interactions	47.310	3	15.770	1.073
Clown/Sex	28.752	1	28.752	1.955
Clown/Race	3.117	1	3.117	.212
Sex/Race	13.839	1	13.839	.941
3-Way Interactions	4.240	1	4.240	.288
Clown/Sex/Race	4.240	1	4.240	.288
Explained	254.444	7	36.349	2.472*
Residual	2,617.320	178	14.704	
Total	2,871.764	185	15.523	

** $p < .01$

* $p < .05$

Table 7

Analysis of Variance--- Classroom
 Behavior Inventory: Attention
 Seeking Super Clowns

Source	SS	df	MS	F
Main Effects	296.161	3	98.720	4.625**
Super Clown	82.241	1	82.241	3.853*
Sex	157.696	1	157.696	7.387**
Race	9.185	1	9.185	.430
2-Way Interactions	76.721	3	25.574	1.198
Super clown/Sex	18.809	1	18.809	.881
Super clown/Race	33.836	1	33.836	1.585
Sex/Race	49.853	1	49.853	2.335
Explained	372.881	6	62.147	2.911**
Residual	3,820.998	179	21.346	
Total	4,193.879	185	22.670	

* p .05

** p .01

Table 8

Sociometric Nominations of Class Clowns
By Classmates

Frequency of Nomination	Best Ideas	To Work on Class Project	Liked By Most Students
None	21	17	14
1-3	24	27	18
4-6	5	8	10
7-9	2	1	2
10-12	0	0	5
13-15	2	1	1
16-18	0	1	1
19-21	0	0	1
22-24	0	0	2
25-27	1	0	0
28-30	0	0	1
N=	55	55	55
X=	2.49	2.20	4.94